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Protocol Version Number:

Protocol Version Date:

Review Type:  Initial Protocol Review
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**Score** (no decimals, please)**:**

Scoring Scale: For each category below, enter numeric score from 1 - 9, one (1) being the

best and nine (9) being the worst. See last page for additional guidance.

1) Clinical Importance

2) Trial Design

3) Innovation/Science

4) UCSF Involvement in Development

(include career development/grant component)

5) Potential for UCSF Publication

6) Accrual/Feasibility

Now, assign a whole number that merges all preceding category scores in terms of relative importance in executing a successful trial; *do not* average the preceding scores. Your overall score will be used to guide the Site Committee in selecting the Final Overall Score, which in turn will guide Site Committee prioritization.

**Overall Score** (not the average)

### ----------------------------------------------------

List of Concerns that Must be Addressed Before Approval
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### ----------------------------------------------------

**Recommendation:**

**Approval**

**Deferred for Revision**

**Disapproval**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Secondary Reviewer’s Signature Date

**Scientific Scoring Scale**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Score** | **Descriptor** | **Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses** |
| **1** | **Exceptional** | Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses |
| **2** | **Outstanding** | Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses |
| **3** | **Excellent** | Very strong with only some minor weaknesses |
| **4** | **Very Good** | Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses |
| **5** | **Good** | Strong but with at least one moderate weakness |
| **6** | **Satisfactory** | Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses |
| **7** | **Fair** | Some strengths but with at least one major weakness |
| **8** | **Marginal** | A few strengths and a few major weaknesses |
| **9** | **Poor** | Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses |
| **Minor Weakness:** An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen the impact  **Moderate Weakness:** A weakness that lessens the impact  **Major Weakness:** A weakness that severely limits the impact | | |